How to leverage a risk register as a valuable performance tool

Hans Læssøe





Current state of Enterprise Risk Management What do need/want to achieve to make this valuable





Current State of Enterprise Risk Management

Many companies have a systematic approach to ERM \bullet

- Based on a defined and standalone process \bullet
- Executed with a defined frequency lacksquare
- Collect data in some standalone database/system lacksquare
- Provides a specific standalone ERM report
- Drives/supports actions taken on specific risks lacksquare

The approach is often not very helpful to management \bullet

- Risk focused/centric \bullet
- Not linked to performance ullet
- Not linked to nor applied for business decisions lacksquare
- Cynically, it can be (and has been) described as "Enterprise List Management"



If your ERM register looks like this I cannot help you

| Risk | | | | EBIT | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|--|------------|----------------|----------|---|--|--|
| Number | | Description of expected case | Likelihood | Impact | Owner | Actions Taken | | |
| 1001 | New competitor in key market | A strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and | | | CM | Close market monitoring | | |
| | | reduce our market share and revenue | | | | Competitive strategy to be invoked | | |
| 1002 | Vendor disruption | A key vendor is unable to a ver, and halts | | | | | | |
| | | manufacturing for 4 we | | Before | e vou e | ven get started – you have | | |
| 1003 | Strike | Main facility hamper over a period of 2 | | | | | | |
| | | weeks. Union agree renegitiation | | to swit | ICH ITOP | n qualitative to quantitative | | |
| 1006 | Chinese market close-down | For political reasons market is | | – which means: | | | | |
| 1007 | Reputational revenue loss | Being caught on bad L | | | | ods to be defined as either | | |
| 1007 | Reputational fine | We are fined based on being a second province of the second province | | • | U | e of likelihood it happens timeframe you look at (e.g. | | |
| 1008 | Key component shortage | A key component cannot be week halt of manufacturing | | | | s a frequency with which it | | |
| 1009 | Labour cost increase | Based on negitiations, | | ma | y/will n | appen (e.g. 0,03) | | |
| | | budgeted level | | | import | a must be described as a | | |
| 1011 | Price competition | Significant prices of lower to | | | - | s must be described as a our performance e.g. money | | |
| 1012 | Material transport stalled | Shipment from stalled, leading to a z | | | - | I, CO_2 emission for | | |
| 4040 | | week loss of capacity of the loss of capacity of the loss of the l | | env | vironme | ental, etc. | | |
| 1012 | Shipment costs increase | Shipment capacity shortage leads to 20% higher | | | | | | |
| 1015 | Overbeed everleed | shipment prices/costs | | | FO | Change lead monitoring | | |
| 1015 | Overhead overload | Organisational overload leads to added fixed costs | | | FO | Change load monitoring | | |



However, most already have a risk register like this

| Name New competitor in key market Vendor disruption | Description of expected caseA strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and | Likelihood | Impact | Owner | Actions Taken |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| · · · | A strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and | 100/ | | | |
| Vendor disruption | | IU /0 | 180 | СМ | Close market monitoring |
| Vendor disruption | reduce our market share and revenue | | | | Competitive strategy to be invoked |
| | A key vendor is unable to deliver, and halts | 20% | 96 | PO | Close monitoring |
| | manufacturing for 4 weeks | | | | Duplication of key vendors |
| Strike | Main facility hampered by strike over a period of 3 | 10% | 72 | CO | Careful negotiations |
| | weeks. Union agreements up for renegitiation | | | | |
| Chinese market close-down | For political reasons, the Chinese market is "closed" | 5% | 250 | MO | Close monitoring |
| Reputational revenue loss | Being caught on bad behaviour, we loose revenue | 7% | 100 | RD | Ethics approach/training roll-out |
| Reputational fine | We are fined based on being caught in bad behaviour | 9% | 100 | RD | Legal monitoring |
| • | | | | | Ethics approach/training roll-out |
| Key component shortage | A key component cannot be procured, leading to a 6 | 3% | 144 | CO | Market monitoring |
| | week halt of manufacturing | | | | Dual sourcing |
| Labour cost increase | Based on negitiations, labour costs/rates exceed budgeted level | 16% | 40 | MH | |
| Price competition | Significant price competition leads to lower revenue | 5% | 150 | PO | Close market monitoring |
| | | | | | Action plan to be invoked |
| Material transport stalled | Shipment from vendor to us is stalled, leading to a 2 | 10% | 48 | LO | Inventory policy |
| | week loss of capacity | | | | Duplication of transport |
| Shipment costs increase | Shipment capacity shortage leads to 20% higher | 8% | 12 | LO | Hedging/Long term collaboration |
| | | 470/ | 400 | | Change load monitoring |
| C F F F N | Chinese market close-down Reputational revenue loss Reputational fine Key component shortage abour cost increase Price competition Material transport stalled | StrikeMain facility hampered by strike over a period of 3 weeks. Union agreements up for renegitiationChinese market close-downFor political reasons, the Chinese market is "closed"Reputational revenue lossBeing caught on bad behaviour, we loose revenueReputational fineWe are fined based on being caught in bad behaviourKey component shortageA key component cannot be procured, leading to a 6 week halt of manufacturingLabour cost increaseBased on negitiations, labour costs/rates exceed budgeted levelPrice competitionSignificant price competition leads to lower revenueMaterial transport stalledShipment from vendor to us is stalled, leading to a 2 week loss of capacityShipment costs increaseShipment capacity shortage leads to 20% higher shipment prices/costs | StrikeMain facility hampered by strike over a period of 3 weeks. Union agreements up for renegitiation10%Chinese market close-downFor political reasons, the Chinese market is "closed"5%Reputational revenue lossBeing caught on bad behaviour, we loose revenue7%Reputational fineWe are fined based on being caught in bad behaviour9%Key component shortageA key component cannot be procured, leading to a 6 week halt of manufacturing3%Labour cost increaseBased on negitiations, labour costs/rates exceed budgeted level16%Price competitionSignificant price competition leads to lower revenue5%Material transport stalledShipment from vendor to us is stalled, leading to a 2 week loss of capacity10%Shipment costs increaseShipment capacity shortage leads to 20% higher shipment prices/costs8% | StrikeMain facility hampered by strike over a period of 3 weeks. Union agreements up for renegitiation10%72Chinese market close-downFor political reasons, the Chinese market is "closed"5%250Reputational revenue lossBeing caught on bad behaviour, we loose revenue7%100Reputational fineWe are fined based on being caught in bad behaviour9%100Key component shortageA key component cannot be procured, leading to a 6 week halt of manufacturing3%144Labour cost increaseBased on negitiations, labour costs/rates exceed budgeted level16%40Price competitionSignificant price competition leads to lower revenue5%150Material transport stalledShipment from vendor to us is stalled, leading to a 2 week loss of capacity10%48 week loss of capacityShipment costs increaseShipment capacity shortage leads to 20% higher shipment prices/costs8%12 | StrikeMain facility hampered by strike over a period of 3 weeks. Union agreements up for renegitiation10%72COChinese market close-downFor political reasons, the Chinese market is "closed"5%250MOReputational revenue lossBeing caught on bad behaviour, we loose revenue7%100RDReputational fineWe are fined based on being caught in bad behaviour9%100RDKey component shortageA key component cannot be procured, leading to a 6 week halt of manufacturing3%144COAbour cost increaseBased on negitiations, labour costs/rates exceed budgeted level16%40MHPrice competitionSignificant price competition leads to lower revenue5%150POMaterial transport stalledShipment from vendor to us is stalled, leading to a 2 week loss of capacity10%48LOShipment costs increaseShipment prices/costs8%12LO |



... leading to risk reports like this

• May be seen as a base for a management discussion

But has a series of shortcomings:

- Single point estimates
- Uncertainties not included
- Levers not included
- No consolidation
- No link to business performance
- In real life USELESS







Currently, ERM is often a standalone process leading to a standalone reporting which helps no-one

What do need/want to achieve to make this valuable





Valuable risk management

Purpose: Tangibly enhance business performance

| It is not (just) about… | but also ver |
|---|-----------------|
| Managing risks | Enhance bus |
| Predict the future | Prepare for the |
| Eliminate or minimize risks | Taking risks . |
| Insurance/compliance | Supporting be |

"If everything is under control, you're moving to slow" Mario Andretti



ry much about ...

- siness performance
- the future
- ... intelligently
- bold decision making

This changes the risk management approach

- No more standalone ... must be integrated
 - Into business processes
 - With performance management

Must be comprehensive and valid

- Include uncertainties
- Include levers
- Based on facts/data
- Outcome in ranges

Must support decision making

- Standardized decisions
- Strategic decisions





Most Likely



Currently, ERM is often a standalone process leading to a standalone reporting which helps no-one

To earn its license to operate, risk management must add business value





So ... we have to start all over ?

| Risk | | | | EBIT | | |
|--------|------------------------------|--|------------|--------|-------|---|
| Number | Name | Description of expected case | Likelihood | Impact | Owner | Actions Taken |
| 1001 | New competitor in key market | A strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and | 10% | 180 | СМ | Close market monitoring |
| | | reduce our market share and revenue | | | | Competitive strategy to be invoked |
| 1002 | Vendor disruption | A key vendor is unable the liver, and halts | 20% | 96 | PO | Close monitoring |
| | | manufacturing for 4 w | | | | Duplication of key vendors |
| 1003 | Strike | Main facility hampe weeks. Union agreed to renegitiation | 0% | 72 | CO | Careful negotiations |
| 1006 | Chinese market close-down | For political reasons market. | 5% | 250 | MO | Close monitoring |
| 1007 | Reputational revenue loss | Being caught on bad b | 7% | 100 | RD | Ethics approach/training roll-out |
| 1007 | Reputational fine | We are fined based on being wiour | 9% | 100 | RD | Legal monitoring Ethics approach/training roll-out |
| 1008 | Key component shortage | A key component cannot be | 3% | 144 | CO | Market monitoring |
| | | week halt of manufacturin | | | | Dual sourcing |
| 1009 | Labour cost increase | Based on negitiations | 16% | 40 | MH | |
| | | budgeted level | | | | |
| 1011 | Price competition | Significant to lower reasonable to lower | % | 150 | PO | Close market monitoring |
| | | | | | | Action plan to be invoked |
| 1012 | Material transport stalled | Shipment from the stalled, leading to a 2 | 10% | 48 | LO | Inventory policy |
| | | week loss of capacity and the second se | | | | Duplication of transport |
| 1012 | Shipment costs increase | Shipment capacity shortage leads to 20% higher shipment prices/costs | 8% | 12 | LO | Hedging/Long term collaboration |
| 1015 | Overhead overload | Organisational overload leads to added fixed costs | 17% | 100 | FO | Change load monitoring |



No ... we just enhance the insights we already have

- Model your business performance ullet
 - This may be your Profit/Loss statement or any like metric •
 - Keep it as simple as you can lacksquare
- **Define outcomes as ranges/distributions** \bullet
 - Start simple it helps more than you think •
 - Enhance where valuable lacksquare
- Add uncertainties and levers \bullet
 - Some things are known to happen but effect is unknown •
 - Good things happen too
- Leverage Monte Carlo simulation \bullet



Your P/L may be as simple as this

- No need to "rework" your entire budget
- These data easily available from Finance
- The variable cost share may need asking into but they will know it

| ltem |
|------------------|
| |
| Revenue/Dema |
| Variable costs - |
| Variable costs |
| |
| Fixed Costs |
| |
| Profit |



| | Budget |
|----------------|------------|
| | |
| and | 2.000 |
| | |
| - % of revenue | 40% |
| | 40% 800 |
| | |
| | 1.000 |
| | |
| | 200 |

Now your risk register may look like this

| Risk | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------|------------------------------|--|------------|----------|--------|------|-----|------|---------------------------------|
| Number | Туре | Name | Description of expected case | Likelihood | Expected | Min | Exp | Max | MCS | Comment |
| 1001 | R | New competitor in key market | A strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and reduce our market share and revenue | 10% | 100 | -1.000 | -250 | -63 | 0 | Negative as it reduces sales |
| 1002 | С | Vendor disruption | A key vendor is unable to deliver, and halts manufacturing for 4 weeks | 20% | 90 | -900 | -225 | -56 | -517 | Negative as it reduces capacity |

- Risks have be categorized, here in four groups depending on what they affect: \bullet
 - R = revenue
 - C = delivery/supply capacity
 - V = variable costs
 - F = fixed costs
- The "expected" or most likely income has been recalculated to form a revenue number ... by dividing with the range of flow through profit (= 1/variable cost share) and hence the 100 EBIT impact translates to 250 in lost revenue. Loss of revenue is negative, and hence the sign has changed
- The minimum and maximum outcomes have been defined using (a proxy) factor in this case 4 \bullet



Now your risk register may look like this

| Risk | | | | | | | | | | _ |
|--------|------|------------------------------|--|------------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|---|
| Number | Туре | Name | Description of expected case | Likelihood | Expected | Min | Exp | Max | MCS | Comment |
| 1001 | R | New competitor in key market | A strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and reduce our market share and revenue | 10% | 100 | -1.000 | -250 | -63 | 0 | Negative as it reduces sales |
| 1002 | С | Vendor disruption | A key vendor is unable to deliver, and halts manufacturing for 4 weeks | 20% | 90 | -900 | -225 | -56 | -517 | Negative as it reduces capacity |
| 1003 | С | Strike | Main facility hampered by strike over a period of 3 weeks. Union agreements up for renegitiation | 10% | 70 | -700 | -175 | -44 | 0 | Negative as it reduces capacity |
| 1004 | F | Currency Impact | Net currency impact | 100% | | -40 | 0 | 40 | 22 | Assessment made based on hedging |
| 1005 | V | Material costs | Market shortage leads to change in material costs which cannot be relayed to sales price | 100% | | -2,5% | 0,0% | 5,0% | -0,4% | Assessment made & recalculated to become share of sales |

- Uncertainties which have 100% likelihood of happening have been added
- Issues related to variable costs (e.g. 1005, Material costs) have been recalculated to be a
 percentage of revenue based on dividing with the target revenue (In this case, 2.000)
- Optionally you may mark these in light grey for easy identification



Now your risk register may look like this

| Risk Number | Туре | Name | Description of expected case | Likelihood | Expected | Min | Ехр | Max | MCS | Comment |
|----------------|------|------------------------------|--|------------|----------|--------|------|-----|-----|---|
| 1001 | R | New competitor in key market | A strong new competitor emerges in a key market, and reduce our market share and revenue | 10% | 100 | -1.000 | -250 | -63 | 0 | Negative as it reduces sales |
| 1014 | R | Competitor "drop-out" | A key competitor is bankrupt and drop out of the market, leaving a bigger market for us | 3% | -50 | 31 | 125 | 500 | 0 | Negative as this is a lever |
| 1015 | F | Overhead overload | Organisational overload leads to added fixed costs | 10% | 100 | 25 | 100 | 400 | 0 | |
| 1016 | С | Productivity boost | Engineering project leads to a 5% of overall productivity and hence capacity | 20% | -48 | 30 | 120 | 480 | 0 | Assessment is negative as a lever Calculation increases capacity |
| 1017 | F | Project savings | Projects are, overall, unable to deliver targeted savings | 20% | 100 | 25 | 100 | 400 | 0 | |

- Levers/opportunities have been added as good things happen too ullet
- These are "just like risks" just good (and hence optionally marked in light green) ullet
- Note, the 1016 risk, which was a negative risk (i.e. a lever) is now recalculated as a capacity ulletissue to a revenue number – and seen as positive at it enhances "capacity"

Be very aware and validate your modelling on positive/negative



Now, your P/L looks like this

- General uncertainties added, \bullet as is the impact of the risks and levers related to demand
- Capacity situation added, \bullet including its risks and levers
- Recalculation of net revenue \bullet as we have to be able to supply to sell
- Variable costs measured in \bullet percentage of sales and the transferred into costs based on the simulated revenue



ltem

Revenue/Demand Demand uncertainty impact Net Demand Total impac Supply Capacity is calculate Supply uncertaint Net supply capad "SUMIF" fu Capacity constrain Likelihood of capacity constraint **Net Revenue** Variable costs - % of revenue Variable costs Variable costs uncertainty impact **Gross Contribution**

Fixed Costs Fixed cost uncertainty impact

Profit

| Min | Budget | Max | MC |
|--|------------|-------|---|
| 1.900 | 2.000 | 2.300 | 1989 457 |
| ct of risks and level and using the Exce Inction | | 2.500 | 2.446 2413 0 2.413 |
| | | | 33 24% 2.413 |
| 38% | 40% 800 | 43% | 41% 984 3 |
| | 1.200 | | 1.426 |
| 950 | 1.000 | 1.100 | 1017 -6 |
| | 200 | | <mark>415</mark> |

Simulating, now we can get valuable results

Performance Overview



Demand in detail





Net Demand Inputs Ranked By Effect on Output Mean

Supply capacity in detail





Supply capacity Inputs Ranked By Effect on Output Mean

Revenue in detail





Net Revenue Inputs Ranked By Effect on Output Mean

Profit in detail



Being caught on bad behaviour, we loose revenue / MCS Uncertainty as to revenue level of new product line / MCS Projects are, overall, unable to deliver targeted savings / MCS -New competitor in key market / MCS Significant price competition leads to lower revenue / MCS -For political reasons, the Chinese market is "closed" / MCS -Organisational overload leads to added fixed costs / MCS Some cyber attack stalls supply for 10 weeks / MCS We are fined based on being caught in bad behaviour / MCS Vendor disruption / MCS

0

200

400

600



Profit Inputs Ranked By Effect on Output Mean



Matching revenue and profit







This adds value to a management discussion

- Strong focus on performance lacksquare
- Linked to specific targets ullet
- Graphics based on defined criteria lacksquare
 - What is good ullet
 - What is bad lacksquare
 - What is disastrous
- Talking business language ullet
- Need not be limited to financials lacksquare
- This approach can be applied for any ... •
 - Strategy lacksquare
 - Project \bullet
 - Decision







Performance Overview

Twists to do to create ERM value

- 1. Extract your ERM data to a spreadsheet
- 2. Include a sheet with your performance calculation
- 3. Have management define what is "good", "so-so but acceptable", unacceptable, ...
- Add general uncertainties 4.
- 5. Add levers/opportunities with likelihood and expected impact
- 6. Categorize risks, uncertainties and levers by type
- Recalculate expected impact to match type (revenue, variable costs, etc.) 7.
- 8. Add (proxy) ranges using a factor
- 9. Run model and look at tornado diagrams
- 10. Validate the ranges of those most important risks, uncertainties and levers
- 11. Re-run model
- 12. Generate reporting and insights





Currently, ERM is often a standalone process leading to a standalone reporting which helps no-one

To earn its license to operate, risk management must add business value







- This presentation will be downloadable
- The reference model, I have used throughout, will be downloadable
- Should this lead to questions and/or comments, feel free to contact me directly ullet

Hans Læssøe https://aktus.dk hl@aktus.dk +45 2030 8699

I will be available for training and consulting, should you believe this could be of value to you •



Presenting myself

- Danish, 66 with four children and four grandchildren
- M.Sc. in Electrical Power Engineering
- 35 years of broad LEGO Group experience
 - 2 years in IT
 - 13 years in Supply Chain
 - 4 years in Product Development
 - 6 years in Finance
 - 10 years in Strategic Risk Management
- Started **AKTUS** risk advisory April 2017
 - AKTUS = "AKTiv USikkerhed" (i.e. Active Uncertainties)
 - Uncertainties are facts of life ... you may as well learn to manage these to your benefit
 - In a volatile world, manoeuvrability is a competitive advantage





s) to manage these to your benefit /antage